

## REMARKS

On page 5, line 23 the words "or equal to" have been deleted, in order to remove from the scope thereof the trivial case where a tag has only a single frequency source, as is well known in the art. This amendment is a restriction and therefore clearly admissible.

The above notwithstanding, Applicants are not aware of any prior teaching of printing even a single frequency source on a tag. The amendment to page 6, does not disclose new matter since the description as amended is clearly encompassed in the original description, both by the original wording on page 5, line 23 (see above) and by original claim 7, which recites *printing the one or more predetermined frequency sources on or in association with the tag*, and is not limited to  $n > 1$ .

MPEP section 2163.06 recites that the claims as filed in the original specification are part of the disclosure. Therefore, the amendment to page 6 does not constitute new matter.

In addition, the words "encoding" and "decoding" have been mistakenly interchanged in several places throughout the description and claims. The appropriate terms are quite clear from the context and permission is requested to correct these merely clerical errors.


It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to the description and claims do not extend the scope of protection beyond what was originally filed and are therefore admissible.

Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Date: February 5, 2004

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC  
1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W.  
Sixth Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 775-8383

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary M. Nath  
Registration No. 26,965  
Marvin C. Berkowitz  
Registration No. 47,421